

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/005,766
Applicant : Antti Ruha et al.
Filed : November 2, 2001
TC/AU : 2618
Examiner : Tran, Pablo N

Docket No. : 872.0100.U1(US)
Customer No. :

Title : MULTI-MODE I/O CIRCUITRY SUPPORTING LOW
INTERFERENCE SIGNALING SCHEMES FOR HIGH SPEED
DIGITAL INTERFACES

Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**COMBINED PETITION TO WITHDRAW A PATENT APPLICATION FROM
ISSUE AND REQUEST FOR CONTINUING EXAMINATION**

Sir:

Applicant hereby petitions the Commissioner to withdraw from issue the above referenced patent application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.313(c)(2) and M.P.E.P. § 1308, and if granted, requests continuing examination of that application under the exception recited at 37 C.F.R. 1.114(a)(1) and M.P.E.P. § 706.07(h). The Patent Office is authorized to charge deposit account no. 50-1924 for the amount of the petition fee of \$130 under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h), and the RCE fee of \$810 under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(e). However, should the undersigned agent be mistaken with regards to any required fees, please consider this a petition for any additional fees which may be required for this Petition and RCE, and charge deposit account no. 50-1924 for any required fee deficiency

Remarks


The subject patent application has been allowed. The issue fee was paid on February 27, 2009, and recorded on March 3, 2009. 37 C.F.R. 1.313 (a) requires that a petition to withdraw an application from issue provide good and sufficient reason why withdrawal is necessary, and section (c) of that regulation provides that withdrawal following payment of the issue fee may be granted only in two circumstances: (1) unpatentability of at least one claim; or (2) consideration of an RCE. Applicant requests continued examination and does not assert unpatentability of an allowed claim.

Companion to the present allowed U.S. application is Korean Application 2004-70006433, filed on April 29, 2004 and claiming priority to the present U.S. application. Applicant received an Office Action in that companion case that cited a document alleged to be relevant to the claims of the present allowed application. The Applicants has attached a copy of the translated Korean Office Action, in addition to the reference cited. The Applicants submit that the reference does not render the Application unpatentable.

Further, the document cited in that Office Action is cited in an Information Disclosure Statement attached hereto, which constitutes the submission for an RCE under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 (c). No further papers are deemed due as stipulated at M.P.E.P. § 706-07(h), Part II. Withdrawal of the present application from issue is deemed necessary in order for the Applicant to comply with the duty of disclosure set forth at M.P.E.P. chapter 2000, especially § 2001.06(a).

In the event this petition is not granted and the subject application issues without being first withdrawn, Applicant requests the Petitions branch to stipulate in the record that, conditioned upon the truth of the assertions herein made by the Applicant, the Applicant has complied with the duty of disclosure.

Respectfully submitted:



John A. Garrity
Reg. No.: 60,470
Customer No.: 29683

4/16/2009
Date